PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PHNL030328WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/IB2004/050220	International filing date (day/month/year) 09 March 2004 (09.03.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 24 March 2003 (24.03.2003)]			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC 7 G11B 7/00					
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II Priority					
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
4.	The International Bureau will co not, except where the applicant r date (Rule 44bis .2).	mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority				

	Date of issuance of this report 01 October 2005 (01.10.2005)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Idhir Britel
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 60

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY RECEIVED

19 OCT 2004

D	₩7PO	PCT
FU) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	=	

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing		_
(day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/B2004/050220

International filing date (day/month/year) 09.03.2004

Priority date (day/month/year) 24.03.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

G11B7/00

Applicant

To:

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V.

1.	This opinion conta	ins indications	relating to	the following	items:
----	--------------------	-----------------	-------------	---------------	--------

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

 Box No. Ⅱ Priority

☐ Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Kyranos, E

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2604



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050220

_	Bass	No. 1. Decision of the control of th				
_		No. I Basis of the opinion				
1	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. ty	pe of material:				
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b. for	mat of material:				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c. tim	e of filing/furnishing:				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	c	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.				
	A -1 ****					

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/050220

_					
	Box No. II Priority				
1.		t has not bee	n furnishe	d:	
	☐ translation of the	e earlier appli	ication wh	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.				
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.				
3.	Additional observations, if ne	ecessary:			
				·	
	Box No. V Reasoned sta industrial applicability; cit	atement under ations and e	er Rule 43 xplanatio	Bbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ans supporting such statement	
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Yes:	Claims		
	7 ()	No:	Claims	1-10	
	Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims		
		No:	Claims	1-10	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-10	
		No:	Claims	·	
2	Citations and explanations				
	•				
	see separate sheet				
	Box No. VII Certain defect	cts in the inte	ernationa	l application	

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Form PCT/IPEA/237 (January 2004)

Re Item V.

1 The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 99/13463 A (DEN ENDEN GIJSBERT JOSEPH VAN ; KONINKL PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NV (NL); P) 18 March 1999 (1999-03-18)

D2: US-A-4,366,564

D3: EP-A-1276101

The feature of independent claim 1 relating to the pregroove comprising a pregroove modulation constituted by pregroove pit areas having a predefined width and depth alternating with pregroove land areas having a reduced depth or a zero depth, appears to be very broad in definition as it reflects any type of pit and land shape and form already known from the general prior art related to pit and land areas in a pregroove. The same argument applies to the feature related to the majority of land areas being located at zero crossings. Here the word majority for both land and pit areas imparts unclarity to the claimed subject-matter. To this end the same argumentation applies to the wording "less pregroove land areas".

Should the literal meaning of said features be taken into account to the extend it can be understood, then the subject-matter of claims 1 and 2 is not new in view of D1, or D2 or D3.

- 3. The argumentation brought forward in item 2 above applies equally to claim 9.
- 4. Dependent claims 3-8 and 10 define trivial features known from general prior art and from either D1-D3 in particular.
- 5. It should also be noted that:
 - a) The independent claims are not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(l) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/050220

b) The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b